

Eighth Patient Report of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit

December 2020 to November 2021

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY









February 2023











Eighth Patient Report of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit

December 2020 to November 2021 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ISBN: 978-1-900936-34-7

Citation for this Report: NELA Project Team. Eighth Patient Report of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit. Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA) London 2023

© 2023 Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP)

The National Emergency Laparotomy Audit (NELA) is commissioned by the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP) as part of the National Clinical Audit and Patient Outcomes Programme (NCAPOP). HQIP is led by a consortium of the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges, the Royal College of Nursing, and National Voices. Its aim is to promote quality improvement in patient outcomes, and in particular, to increase the impact that clinical audit, outcome review programmes and registries have on healthcare quality in England and Wales. HQIP holds the contract to commission, manage, and develop the NCAPOP, comprising around 40 projects covering care provided to people with a wide range of medical, surgical and mental health conditions. The programme is funded by NHS England, the Welsh Government and, with some individual projects, other devolved administrations and crown dependencies: hqip.org.uk/national-programmes.

Whilst the Royal College of Anaesthetists has endeavoured to ensure that this document is as accurate as possible at the time it was published, it can take no responsibility for matters arising from circumstances which may have changed, or information which may become available subsequently.

All enquiries in regard to this document should be addressed to:

The National Emergency Laparotomy Audit, Royal College of Anaesthetists, Churchill House, 35 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4SG 020 7092 1676 | info@nela.org.uk | nela.org.uk

Design and layout by the Royal College of Anaesthetists





Executive Summary

Results from 2020–2021 – the Eighth Year of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit

Principal performance statistics are available here.

22,132 patients who had emergency bowel surgery in England and Wales were included in the Year 8 audit from 173 hospitals





Improvements in mortality have levelled off - inhospital mortality was 9.2% compared to 9.1% in Year 7 and 9.6% in Year 6





86.8% of patients received a preoperative

assessment of risk (up from 85% last year, and 56% in Year 1)

86.4% of patients with a high documented risk had consultant surgeon input before surgery



71.5% of patients with a high documented risk had consultant anaesthetist input before surgery

Patients with sepsis suspected at time of arrival in hospital waited a median of 15.6 hours from time of admission until surgery





Median time to antibiotics in patients with suspected sepsis was 3.0 hours from arrival in hospital



91.8% of patients received a preoperative CT scan (92.5% in Year 7)



26.3% of patients had their scan reporting outsourced (19.1% in Year 7 and 17.8% in Year 6)



Presence of both anaesthetic and surgical consultants during surgery in high-risk patients was 91.3%

(90.2% in Year 7)



79.1% of high-risk patients were admitted to critical care postoperatively (82.3% in Year 7); 15.7% of high-risk patients were admitted to a normal ward





55.3% of patients were over the age of 65 and 17.7% of patients were over the age of 80. Only 31.8% of patients 80 or over, or 65 and frail, had geriatrician input (26.8% in Year 7)

Median length of stay was highest for those with an unplanned return to theatre - 29 days compared to 10 days for all patients



Churchill House, 35 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4SG 020 7092 1676 info@nela.org.uk nela.org.uk @NELANews









Acknowledgements

This report was prepared by:

Ms Lyndsay Pearce, NELA Surgical and Research Lead Dr David Saunders, NELA Anaesthetic Lead Mr Nicholas Bui, Data Analyst, Royal College of Anaesthetists Ms Christine Taylor, Research Manager/NELA Project Manager, Royal College of Anaesthetists Dr Carolyn Johnston, NELA Quality Improvement Lead Dr Dave Murray, NELA Chair

on behalf of members of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit Project Team on behalf of the Royal College of Anaesthetists. The members of the project team at the time of writing and data collection were:

Mr Nicholas Bui, Data Analyst, Royal College of	Ms Sonia Lockwood, Surgical Advisor
Anaesthetists	Dr Ee-Neng Loh, NELA Anaesthetic Fellow
Mr James Bunce, NELA Surgical Fellow	Mr Jose Lourtie, Head of Research, Royal College of
Mr Trevor Corrithers, Audit and Research	Anaesthetists
Administrator, Royal College of Anaesthetists	Dr Peter Martin, Statistician, Health Services Research
Mr Paul Cripps, Managing Director, NetSolving	Centre
Professor David Cromwell , Director – Clinical Effectiveness Unit, Royal College of Surgeons	Ms Carly Melbourne , Associate Director – Clinical Quality and Research, Royal College of Anaesthetists
Ms Sharon Drake , Director – Clinical Quality and Research, Royal College of Anaesthetists	Professor lain Moppett , Director, Health Services Research Centre
Dr Sarah Hare , Deputy Director, Health Services Research Centre	Dr Dave Murray, NELA Chair
	Ms Lyndsay Pearce, NELA Surgical and Research Lead
Ms Hannah Javanmard-Emamghissi , NELA Surgical Fellow	Dr David Saunders, NELA Anaesthetic Lead
Dr Carolyn Johnston, NELA Quality Improvement Lead	Ms Louise Silva, NELA Surgical Fellow
Dr Nicola Kelly, NELA Anaesthetic Fellow	Dr LJ Spurling, NELA Anaesthetic Fellow
Dr Justin Kua , NELA Anaesthetic Fellow	Ms Christine Taylor , Research Manager/NELA Project Manager, Royal College of Anaesthetists
Dr Angela Kuryba , Quantitative Analyst, Royal College of Surgeons	Ms Gillian Tierney, Surgical Advisor
Mr David Larkin, NELA Surgical Fellow	Dr Kate Walker , Associate Professor in Medical Statistics, Royal College of Surgeons

The NELA Project Team and Board would like to express their thanks to all staff at NHS trusts and Welsh health boards who have collected and submitted data this year. Thank you to all NELA Anaesthetic and Surgical Leads for their leadership, hard work, and enthusiasm. The success of NELA over the last eight years, and the enormous benefits to patients through improved care, would not have been possible without your continued engagement.

The NELA Project Team and Board would also like to thank the members of the NELA Clinical Reference Group for helping to shape the dataset and report, in particular Dr Arturo Vilches-Moraga (British Geriatrics Society), Dr Sally-Anne Wilson (Royal College of Emergency Medicine), Dr James Stephenson (Royal College of Radiologists), Mr Nicholas Lees (Royal College of Surgeons of England) and Dr Simon Varley (Emergency Laparotomy Collaborative). Members of the NELA Project Board and Clinical Reference Group at the time of writing can be found in <u>Appendix 1</u>.



1 NELA Key Messages and Recommendations

KEY MESSAGE 1

In Year 8 of the audit, 22,132 adults required emergency laparotomy (emergency bowel surgery) in England and Wales.

- Over half were aged over 60
- Half were assessed as high-risk (with a 30-day mortality risk of 5% or greater)
- Half were assessed as requiring surgery within six hours of decision to operate
- A quarter were assessed as having sepsis on arrival at hospital, rising to a third at the time of decision to operate
- More than half received postoperative critical care
- 9.2% of patients died in hospital. Median length of stay amongst survivors was 10 days

Recommendations

- 1.1 Hospitals should continue to engage further with NELA data collection. In particular, make use of real-time data and resources available from NELA to drive clinical and service quality improvement. These include quarterly hospital, regional and national data reports; excellence and exception case-reporting tools; and process measure and mortality monitoring tools available via the NELA website.
- **1.2** Funded time within consultant job plans should be available to support invaluable work and contributions by members of clinical teams in collecting data, and coordination and service improvement overseen by NELA surgical, anaesthetic, radiology and emergency medicine local clinical leads. This requires trust/health board recognition of the value of this work.
- **1.3** Trusts and health boards should support NELA data collection and analysis with funded audit and governance assistance.

(Audience/s: Trust Boards; Medical Directors; Clinical Directors; hospital clinical audit departments; and consultants in anaesthesia, critical care, surgery, radiology, emergency medicine, and elderly care)

KEY MESSAGE 2

Most patients (91.8%) who underwent emergency laparotomy (emergency bowel surgery) benefitted from preoperative computerised tomography (CT) scanning. A significant proportion (26.3%) of scans were reported using outsourced radiology expertise – this has been highlighted previously as increasing the risk of discrepancy between CT reports and findings at surgery.

Recommendations

2.1 Ensure NELA leads for radiology are appointed in each department, with specific job planned time to facilitate coordination of multidisciplinary review meetings and radiology events and learning meetings (REALM). Conclusions should be shared where applicable with providers of outsourced reporting services.

(Audience/s: Medical Directors and Clinical Directors of radiology and surgery)



KEY MESSAGE 3

Patients experienced long delays from time of arrival at hospital to time of surgery, including those with sepsis suspected at arrival in hospital (median 15.6 hours to theatre). Delays were largely during the assessment, diagnostic and decision-making pathways rather than following decision to operate.

Recommendations

3.1 Multidisciplinary teams in emergency, surgical, perioperative, acute and critical care should work to produce and implement locally agreed optimised pathways of care, with the aim of streamlining diagnosis with as little delay for patients as possible.

(Audience/s: Clinical Directors; consultant surgeons, emergency physicians, radiologists, anaesthetists and intensivists, together with senior nursing colleagues in their respective departments and with support from their respective management teams)

KEY MESSAGE 4

Many patients (77.7%) with suspected sepsis on arrival did not receive antibiotics within an hour of arrival in hospital. There was wide variation between hospitals in delays before antibiotics were given – median time to administer antibiotics in this group of patients was 3.0 hours [interquartile range: 1.2–6.8 hours].

Recommendations

- **4.1** Clinical teams should be supported by management teams to work together to identify where and why existing standards around antibiotic administration are not being met.
- **4.2** Clinical teams should establish and introduce locally agreed pathways for administration of antibiotics preoperatively for those with suspected intra-abdominal infection or sepsis, following guidance around timeliness from the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges and the Surviving Sepsis Campaign.
- **4.3** Clinical/nursing teams should ensure that locally agreed pathways support the administration of antibiotics, without delay, at the time of prescribing.

(Audience/s: Clinical Directors; consultant surgeons, emergency and general physicians; microbiologists, anaesthetists and intensivists, together with senior and specialist nursing colleagues, and with support from their respective management and prescribing/pharmacy teams)

KEY MESSAGE 5

One in five high-risk patients did not receive postoperative care in a critical care unit.

Recommendations

- **5.1** Surgeons, anaesthetists and intensivists should ensure a formal assessment of mortality risk has been performed around the time of decision to operate, taking into account the significant impact of frailty.
- 5.2 Clinical teams should not hesitate to refer a high-risk patient for postoperative monitoring in critical care, even if not currently critically ill.
- **5.3** Trusts/health boards should ensure critical care capacity is able to meet demand. Any critical care capacity shortfall should be reviewed as part of departmental and hospital-level clinical governance.

(Audience/s: Executive Boards; Medical Directors; Clinical Directors; consultant and training grade surgeons, anaesthetists and intensivists, together with their respective management teams and senior nursing colleagues)



KEY MESSAGE 6

Frailty doubled the risk of mortality amongst those patients aged 65 and over (13.0% vs 5.9%). However, review by a member of the elderly care team was associated with a significant reduction in mortality (5.9% vs 9.5% amongst non-frail patients, and 13.0% vs 22.3% amongst frail patients). Despite some units showing excellent performance, elderly care involvement in the care of elderly and frail patients following emergency laparotomy is not routine practice in many hospitals.

Recommendations

- 6.1 A formal assessment of frailty should be performed for all patients aged 65 or over.
- 6.2 Surgeons, anaesthetists and intensivists should ensure frailty has been taken into account when assessing the mortality risk of their patients as the NELA risk score does not take frailty into account.
- 6.3 Trusts/health boards should work towards improving capacity for experts in elderly care to review all elderly, frail and vulnerable patients postoperatively. This liaison work on surgical wards should happen on a systematic and consistent basis rather than in an ad hoc manner. In many hospitals this goal is likely to require specific trust/ health board support and funding.

(Audience/s: Executive Boards; Medical Directors; Clinical Directors in surgery and elderly care; geriatricians, surgeons and anaesthetists, together with their respective management and senior nursing colleagues)



National Emergency Laparotomy Audit (NELA)

Royal College of Anaesthetists, Churchill House, 35 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4SG 020 7092 1676 | info@nela.org.uk

nela.org.uk



Information correct as at February 2023